

INVERSE PROBLEMS FOR THE PERTURBED POLYHARMONIC OPERATOR WITH COEFFICIENTS IN SOBOLEV SPACES WITH NON-POSITIVE ORDER

YERNAT M. ASSYLBEKOV

ABSTRACT. We show that the knowledge of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the boundary of a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, for the perturbed polyharmonic operator $(-\Delta)^m + A \cdot D + q$, $m \geq 2$, with $2n > m$, $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}$, determines the potentials A and q in the set uniquely. The proof is based on a Carleman estimate with linear weights and with a gain of two derivatives and on the property of products of functions in Sobolev spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded open set with C^∞ boundary. Consider the polyharmonic operator $(-\Delta)^m$, where $m \geq 1$ is an integer. The operator $(-\Delta)^m$ is positive and self-adjoint on $L^2(\Omega)$ with domain $H^{2m}(\Omega) \cap H_0^m(\Omega)$, where

$$H_0^m(\Omega) = \{u \in H^m(\Omega) : \gamma u = 0\}.$$

This operator can be obtained as the Friedrichs extension starting from the space of test functions. This fact can be found, for example, in [9]. Here and in what follows, γ is the Dirichlet trace operator

$$\gamma : H^m(\Omega) \rightarrow \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega), \quad \gamma u = (u|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega}, \dots, \partial_\nu^{m-1} u|_{\partial\Omega}),$$

where ν is the unit outer normal to the boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $H^s(\Omega)$ and $H^s(\partial\Omega)$ are the standard L^2 -based Sobolev spaces on Ω and its boundary $\partial\Omega$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Throughout the paper we shall assume that $2n > m$.

Let $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$, where $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the standard L^p -based Sobolev space on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$, which is defined by the Bessel potential operator. Thus $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of all distributions u on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $J_{-s}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where J_s is the operator defined as

$$u \mapsto ((1 + |\cdot|^2)^{-s/2} \widehat{u}(\cdot))^\vee.$$

In the case $s \geq 0$ integer, $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the space of all functions whose all derivatives of order less or equal to s is in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The reader is referred to [30] for properties of these spaces.

Before stating the problem, we consider the bilinear forms B_A and b_q on $H^m(\Omega)$ which are defined by

$$B_A(u, v) = \langle A, \tilde{v} D\tilde{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad b_q(u, v) = \langle q, \tilde{u} \tilde{v} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad u, v \in H^m(\Omega),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the distributional duality on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are extensions of u and v , respectively. In Appendix A, we show that these definitions are well-defined (i.e. independent of the choice of extensions $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$). Using a property on multiplication of functions in Sobolev spaces, we show that the forms B_A and b_q are bounded on $H^m(\Omega)$; see Proposition A.2.

Consider the operator D_A which is formally $A \cdot D$, where $D_j = -i\partial_{x_j}$, and the operator m_q of multiplication by q . To be precise, for $u \in H^m(\Omega)$, $D_A(u)$ and $m_q(u)$ are defined as

$$\langle D_A(u), \psi \rangle_\Omega = B_A(u, \psi) \text{ and } \langle m_q(u), \psi \rangle_\Omega = b_q(u, \psi), \quad \psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Then the operators D_A and m_q are bounded $H^m(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-m}(\Omega)$ (see Corollary A.3), and hence, standard arguments show that the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,q} = (-\Delta)^m + D_A + m_q : H_0^m(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-m}(\Omega) = (H_0^m(\Omega))',$$

is Fredholm operator with zero index; see Appendix B.

For $f = (f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) \in \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma u &= f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

If 0 is not in the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, the Dirichlet problem (1.1) has a unique solution $u \in H^m(\Omega)$. We define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}$ as follows

$$\langle \mathcal{N}_{A,q} f, \bar{h} \rangle_{\partial\Omega} := \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u, \overline{D^\alpha v_h})_{L^2(\Omega)} + B_A(u, \bar{v}_h) + b_q(u, \bar{v}_h), \tag{1.2}$$

where $h = (h_0, \dots, h_{m-1}) \in \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, and $v_h \in H^m(\Omega)$ is an extension of h , that is $\gamma v_h = h$. It is shown in Appendix B that $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}$ is a well-defined (i.e. independent of the choice of v_h) bounded operator

$$\mathcal{N}_{A,q} : \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow \left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \right)' = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{-m+j+1/2}(\partial\Omega).$$

The inverse boundary problem for the perturbed polyharmonic operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ is to determine A and q in Ω from the knowledge of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}$.

When $m = 1$ the operator $L_{A,q}$ is the first order perturbation of the Laplacian and $N_{A,q}u$ is formally given by $N_{A,q}f = (\partial_\nu u + i(A \cdot \nu)u)|_{\partial\Omega}$, where u is an $H^m(\Omega)$ solution to the equation $L_{A,q}u = 0$. It was shown in [26] that in this case there is an obstruction to uniqueness in this problem given by the following gauge equivalence of the set of the Cauchy data: if $\psi \in W^{1,\infty}$ in a neighbourhood of $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then $C_{A,q} = C_{A+\nabla\psi,q}$; see also [17, Lemma 3.1]. Hence, given $C_{A,q}$, we may only

hope to recover the magnetic field dA and electric potential q . Here and in what follows the magnetic field dA is defined by

$$dA = \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} (\partial_{x_j} A_k - \partial_{x_k} A_j) dx_j \wedge dx_k.$$

Due to the lack smoothness of A , this definition is in the sense of distributions.

Starting with the paper of Sun [26], inverse boundary value problems for the magnetic Schrödinger operators have been extensively studied. It was shown in [26] that the hope mentioned above is justified provided that $A \in W^{2,\infty}$, $q \in L^\infty$ and dA satisfies a smallness condition. The smallness condition was removed in [20] for C^∞ magnetic and electric potentials, and also for compactly supported C^2 magnetic potentials and essentially bounded electric potentials. The regularity assumption on magnetic potentials were subsequently weakened to C^1 in [29], and then to Dini continuous in [24]. All these results were obtained under the assumption that zero is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for the magnetic Schrödinger operator in Ω . The best result by now is due to Krupchyk and Uhlmann [17], where they prove uniqueness under the assumption that magnetic and electric potentials are of class L^∞ .

It was shown in [15] that the obstruction to uniqueness coming from the gauge equivalence when $m = 1$ can be eliminated by considering operators of higher order. More precisely, they show that for $m \geq 2$ the set of Cauchy data $C_{A,q}$ determines A and q uniquely provided that $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. They also show that the uniqueness result holds without the assumption $A = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ but for C^∞ magnetic and electric potentials. This is also true for $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ when the boundary of the domain Ω is connected.

The purpose of this paper is to relax the regularity assumption on A from $W^{1,\infty}$ to $W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}$ class and q from L^∞ to $W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}$ for the perturbed polyharmonic operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ with $m \geq 2$. Therefore, throughout the paper we assume that $m \geq 2$. Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded open set with C^∞ boundary, and let $m \geq 2$ be an integer such that $2n > m$. Suppose that $A_1, A_2 \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega})$ and $q_1, q_2 \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega})$ are such that 0 is not in the spectrums of $\mathcal{L}_{A_1, q_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2}$. If $\mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1} = \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2}$, then $A_1 = A_2$ and $q_1 = q_2$.*

The key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is a construction of complex geometric optics solutions for the operator $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$. For this, we use the method of Carleman estimates which is based on the corresponding Carleman estimate for the Laplacian, with a gain of two derivatives, due to Salo and Tzou [25]. Another important tool in our proof is the property of products of functions in Sobolev spaces [23]. This was used in the paper of Brown and Torres [2]. The idea of constructing such solutions for the Schrödinger operator goes back to the fundamental paper due to Sylvester and Uhlmann [27].

The inverse boundary value problem of the recovery of a zeroth order perturbation of the biharmonic operator, that is when $m = 2$, has been studied by Isakov [13], where uniqueness result was obtained, similarly to the case of the Schrödinger operator. In [12], the uniqueness result was extended to $q \in L^{n/2}(\Omega)$, $n > 4$ by Ikehata. These results were extended for zeroth order perturbation of the polyharmonic operator with $q \in L^{n/2m}$, $n > 2m$ by Krupchyk and Uhlmann [16]. In the case $m = 1$, that is for zeroth order perturbation of the Schrödinger operator, global uniqueness result was established by Lavine and Nachman [18] for $q \in L^{n/2}(\Omega)$, following an earlier result of Chanillo [5] for $q \in L^{n/2+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

Higher ordered polyharmonic operators occur in the areas of physics and geometry such as the study of the Kirchhoff plate equation in the theory of elasticity, and the study of the Paneitz-Branson operator in conformal geometry; for more details see monograph [7].

We would like to remark that the problem considered in this paper can be considered as generalization of the Calderón's inverse conductivity problem [3], known also as electrical impedance tomography, for which the reduction of regularity have been studied extensively. In the fundamental paper by Sylvester and Uhlmann [27] it was shown that C^2 conductivities can be uniquely determined from boundary measurements. The regularity assumptions were weakened to $C^{3/2+\varepsilon}$ conductivities by Brown [1], and corresponding result for $C^{3/2}$ conductivities was obtained by Päiväranta, Panchenko and Uhlmann [21]. Uniqueness result for $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ conormal conductivities was shown by Greenleaf, Lassas and Uhlmann [8]. There is a recent work by Haberman and Tataru [11] which gives a uniqueness result for Calderón's problem with C^1 conductivities and with Lipschitz continuous conductivities, which are close to the identity in a suitable sense. Very recent work of Caro and Rogers [4] shows that Lipschitz conductivities can be determined from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. Finally, Haberman [10] gives uniqueness results for conductivities with unbounded gradient. In particular, uniqueness for conductivities in $W^{1,n}(\overline{\Omega})$ with $n = 3, 4$ is obtained.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the construction of complex geometric optics solutions for the perturbed polyharmonic operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ with $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$. Then the proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3. In Appendix A, we study mapping properties of the operators D_A and m_q . Finally, Appendix B is devoted to the well-posedness of the Dirichlet problem for $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ with $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$.

2. CARLEMAN ESTIMATES AND COMPLEX GEOMETRIC OPTICS SOLUTIONS

In this section we construct the complex geometric optics solutions for the equation $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}u = 0$ in Ω with $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$, $m \geq 2$. When constructing such solutions, we shall first derive Carleman estimates for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$.

We start by recalling the Carleman estimate for the semiclassical Laplace operator $-h^2\Delta$ with a gain of two derivatives, established in [25]. Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Omega \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$ and let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$. Consider the conjugated operator

$$P_\varphi = e^{\varphi/h}(-h^2\Delta)e^{-\varphi/h},$$

and its semiclassical principal symbol

$$p_\varphi(x, \xi) = \xi^2 + 2i\nabla\varphi \cdot \xi - |\nabla\varphi|^2, \quad x \in \tilde{\Omega}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Following [14], we say that φ is a limiting Carleman weight for $-h^2\Delta$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, if $\nabla\varphi \neq 0$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$ and the Poisson bracket of $\text{Re } p_\varphi$ and $\text{Im } p_\varphi$ satisfies

$$\{\text{Re } p_\varphi, \text{Im } p_\varphi\}(x, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad p_\varphi(x, \xi) = 0, \quad (x, \xi) \in \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In this paper we shall consider only the linear Carleman weights $\varphi(x) = \alpha \cdot x$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\alpha| = 1$.

In what follows we consider the semiclassical norm on the standard Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\langle hD \rangle^s u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Our starting point is the following Carleman estimate for the semiclassical Laplace operator $-h^2\Delta$ with a gain of two derivatives, which is due to Salo and Tzou [25].

Proposition 2.1. *Let φ be a limiting Carleman weight for $-h^2\Delta$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, and let $\varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi + \frac{h}{2\varepsilon}\varphi^2$. Then for $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\frac{h}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h}(-h^2\Delta)e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h}u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad C > 0,$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Next, we state theorem on products of functions in Sobolev spaces. This result is well-known, see Theorem 2 in [23, Subsection 4.4.4].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $0 < s_1 \leq s_2 < n \min(1/p, 1/q)$. Then $W^{s_1, p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot W^{s_2, q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W^{s_1, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - s_2/n$.*

Now we shall derive Carleman estimate for the perturbed operator $\mathcal{L}_{A, q}$ with $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$. To that end we shall iterate (m times) inequality in Proposition 2.1 and use it with $s = -m$, and with fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ being sufficiently small, that is independent of h . We have the following result.

Proposition 2.3. *Let φ be a limiting Carleman weight for $-h^2\Delta$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, and suppose that $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$. Then for $0 < h \ll 1$, we have*

$$\|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{1}{h^m} \|e^{\varphi/h}(h^{2m}\mathcal{L}_{A, q})e^{-\varphi/h}u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (2.1)$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. Iterating the Carleman estimate in Proposition 2.1 m times, $m \geq 2$, we get the following Carleman estimate for the polyharmonic operator,

$$\frac{h^m}{\varepsilon^{m/2}} \|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{s+2m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h} (-h^2 \Delta)^m e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h} u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$. We shall use this estimate with $s = -m$, and with fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ being sufficiently small but independent of h :

$$\frac{h^m}{\varepsilon^{m/2}} \|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h} (-h^2 \Delta)^m e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h} u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$.

In order to prove the proposition it will be convenient to use the following characterization of the semiclassical norm in the Sobolev space $H^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|v\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{0 \neq \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \frac{|\langle v, \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}|}{\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)}}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the distribution duality on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $\varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi + \frac{h}{2\varepsilon} \varphi^2$ be the convexified weight with $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $0 < h \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$, and let $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then for all $0 \neq \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by duality of the spaces $W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$ and $W^{\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$ (this is the reason for our assumption that $2n > m$) and by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h} h^{2m} m_q(e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h} u), \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| &\leq C h^{2m} \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u \psi\|_{W^{\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C h^{2m} \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\psi\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C h^m \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C h^m \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.3), we obtain

$$\|e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h} h^{2m} m_q(e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h} u)\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C h^m \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (2.4)$$

For all $0 \neq \psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we can show

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h} h^{2m} D_A(e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h} u), \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| &= |\langle h^{2m} A, e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h} \psi D(e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h} u) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| \\ &\leq |\langle h^{2m-1} A, e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h} \psi [-u(1 + h\varphi/\varepsilon) D\varphi + hDu] \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| \\ &\leq C h^{2m-1} \|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|-u(1 + h\varphi/\varepsilon) D\varphi \psi + hDu\psi\|_{W^{\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used duality between $W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $W^{\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$. In the case $m \geq 3$, we use Proposition 2.2 with $p = q = 2$, $s_1 = \frac{m-2}{2}$ and $s_2 = \frac{m}{2}$,

to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| -u(1 + h\varphi/\varepsilon)D\varphi\psi + hDu\psi \|_{W^{\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq C \| -u(1 + h\varphi/\varepsilon)D\varphi + hDu \|_{H^{\frac{m-2}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \psi \|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{h^{m-1}} \| u \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \psi \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{h^{m-1}} \| u \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \psi \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)},
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ depending only on φ . When $m = 2$, we use Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [28, Chapter 13, Proposition 6.4]), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| -u(1 + h\varphi/\varepsilon)D\varphi\psi + hDu\psi \|_{L^{\frac{2n}{2n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq C \| -u(1 + h\varphi/\varepsilon)D\varphi + hDu \|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \psi \|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq C \| -u(1 + h\varphi/\varepsilon)D\varphi + hDu \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \psi \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{h} \| u \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \psi \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{h} \| u \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \psi \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ depending only on φ . Therefore, for $m \geq 2$, we get

$$| \langle e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h} h^{2m} D_A(e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h} u), \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} | \leq Ch^m \| A \|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| u \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| \psi \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Hence, by (2.3), we obtain

$$\| e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h} h^{2m} D_A(e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h} u) \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ch^m \| A \|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \| u \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Combining these estimates with (2.2) and (2.4) we get that for small enough $h > 0$

$$\| u \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{1}{h^m} \| e^{\varphi_\varepsilon/h} (h^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{A,q}) e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h} u \|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Using that

$$e^{-\varphi_\varepsilon/h} u = e^{-\varphi/h} e^{-\varphi^2/2\varepsilon} u$$

we obtain (2.1). $\square$

Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ be a limiting Carleman weight for $-h^2\Delta$. Set

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi := e^{\varphi/h} (h^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{A,q}) e^{-\varphi/h}.$$

Then by Proposition A.4 we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_\varphi u, \overline{v} \rangle_\Omega = \langle u, \overline{\mathcal{L}_\varphi^* v} \rangle_\Omega, \quad u, v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\varphi^* = e^{-\varphi/h} (h^2 \mathcal{L}_{\overline{A}, \overline{q} + D \cdot \overline{A}}) e^{\varphi/h}$ is the formal adjoint of $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Omega$ is the distribution duality on Ω . We have that

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi^* : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\Omega)$$

is bounded. Therefore, the estimate (2.1) holds for $\mathcal{L}_\varphi^*$, since $-\varphi$ is a limiting Carleman weight as well.

To construct the complex geometric optics solutions for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, we need to convert the Carleman estimate (2.1) for $\mathcal{L}_\varphi^*$ into the following solvability result. The proof is essentially well-known, and we include it here for the convenience of the reader. In what follows, we shall write

$$\|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|(h\partial)^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

$$\|v\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\Omega)} = \sup_{0 \neq \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)} \frac{|\langle v, \phi \rangle_\Omega|}{\|\phi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)}} = \sup_{0 \neq f \in H_0^m(\Omega)} \frac{|\langle v, f \rangle_\Omega|}{\|f\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)}}.$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$, and let φ be a limiting Carleman weight for the semiclassical Laplacian on Ω . If $h > 0$ is small enough, then for any $v \in H^{-m}(\Omega)$, there is a solution $u \in H^m(\Omega)$ of the equation*

$$e^{\varphi/h}(h^{2m}\mathcal{L}_{A,q})e^{-\varphi/h}u = v \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

which satisfies

$$\|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)} \lesssim \frac{1}{h^m} \|v\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Let $v \in H^{-m}(\Omega)$ and let us consider the following complex linear functional,

$$L : \mathcal{L}_\varphi^* C_0^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\varphi,\alpha}^* w \mapsto \langle w, \overline{v} \rangle_\Omega.$$

By the Carleman estimate (2.1) for $\mathcal{L}_\varphi^*$, the map L is well-defined. Let $w \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L(\mathcal{L}_\varphi^* w)| &= |\langle w, \overline{v} \rangle_\Omega| \leq \|w\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{h^m} \|v\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\Omega)} \|\mathcal{L}_\varphi^* w\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, we may extend L to a linear continuous functional $\tilde{L}$ on $H^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, without increasing its norm. By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists $u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all $\psi \in H^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\tilde{L}(\psi) = \langle \psi, \overline{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{C}{h^m} \|v\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\Omega)}.$$

Let us now show that $\mathcal{L}_\varphi u = v$ in Ω . To that end, let $w \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_\varphi u, \overline{w} \rangle_\Omega = \langle u, \overline{\mathcal{L}_\varphi^* w} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \overline{\tilde{L}(\mathcal{L}_\varphi^* w)} = \overline{\langle w, \overline{v} \rangle_\Omega} = \langle v, \overline{w} \rangle_\Omega.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Our next goal is to construct the complex geometric optics solutions for the equation $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}u = 0$ in Ω with $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$ using the solvability result Proposition 2.4. Complex geometric optics solutions are the solutions of the following form,

$$u(x, \zeta; h) = e^{\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} (a(x, \zeta) + h^{m/2} r(x, \zeta; h)), \quad (2.5)$$

where $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\zeta \cdot \zeta = 0$, $|\zeta| \sim 1$, $a \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ is an amplitude, r is a correction term, and $h > 0$ is a small parameter.

Let us conjugate $h^{2m}\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ by $e^{ix \cdot \zeta/h}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} h^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^{\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} \\ = (-h^2 \Delta - 2i\zeta \cdot h\nabla)^m + h^{2m} D_A + h^{2m-1} m_{A \cdot \zeta} + h^{2m} m_q. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We shall consider ζ depending slightly on h , i.e. $\zeta = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1$ with ζ_0 being independent of h and $\zeta_1 = \mathcal{O}(h)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. We also assume that $|\operatorname{Re} \zeta_0| = |\operatorname{Im} \zeta_0| = 1$. Then we can write (2.6) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} h^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^{\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} &= (-h^2 \Delta - 2i\zeta_0 \cdot h\nabla - 2i\zeta_1 \cdot h\nabla)^m + h^{2m} D_A \\ &\quad + h^{2m-1} m_{A \cdot (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)} + h^{2m} m_q. \end{aligned}$$

Then (2.5) is a solution to $\mathcal{L}_{A,q} u = 0$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} h^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} (e^{\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} h^{m/2} r) &= -e^{-\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} h^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} (e^{\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} a) \\ &= -\sum_{k=0}^m \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!} (-h^2 \Delta - 2i\zeta_1 \cdot h\nabla)^{m-k} (-2i\zeta_0 \cdot h\nabla)^k a \\ &\quad - h^{2m} D_A a - h^{2m-1} m_{A \cdot (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)}(a) - h^{2m} m_q(a) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

If $a \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies

$$(\zeta_0 \cdot \nabla)^{k_0} a = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for some $k_0 \geq 1$ integer, then, using the fact that $\zeta_1 = \mathcal{O}(h)$, one can show that the lowest order of h on the right-hand side of (2.7) is $k_0 - 1 + 2(m - k_0 + 1) = 2m - k_0 + 1$. In order to get

$$\|e^{-\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} h^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} (e^{\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} a)\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{m+m/2}),$$

we should choose k_0 satisfying $2m - k_0 + 1 \geq m + m/2$ and hence $k_0 \leq (m+2)/2$. Since $m \geq 2$, we should choose $a \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, satisfying the following transport equation,

$$(\zeta_0 \cdot \nabla)^2 a = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (2.8)$$

The choice of such a is clearly possible. Having chosen the amplitude a in this way, we obtain the following equation for r ,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} h^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} (e^{\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} h^{m/2} r) &= -e^{-\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} h^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} (e^{\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} a) \\ &= -(-h^2 \Delta - 2i\zeta_1 \cdot h\nabla)^m a - m(-h^2 \Delta - 2i\zeta_1 \cdot h\nabla)^{m-1} (-2i\zeta_0 \cdot h\nabla) a \\ &\quad - h^{2m} D_A a - h^{2m-1} m_{A \cdot (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)}(a) - h^{2m} m_q(a) =: g \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Notice that g belongs to $H^{-m}(\Omega)$ and we would like to estimate $\|g\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\Omega)}$. To that end, we let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\psi \neq 0$. Then using the fact that $\zeta_1 = \mathcal{O}(h)$,

we get by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & |((-h^2\Delta - 2i\zeta_1 \cdot h\nabla)^m a, \psi)_{L^2(\Omega)}| \\ & \quad + |((-h^2\Delta - 2i\zeta_1 \cdot h\nabla)^{m-1}(-2i\zeta_0 \cdot h\nabla)a, \psi)_{L^2(\Omega)}| \\ & \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{2m-1})\|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{2m-1})\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

In the case $m \geq 3$, we use Proposition 2.2 with $p = q = 2$, $s_1 = \frac{m-2}{2}$ and $s_2 = \frac{m}{2}$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h^{2m-1}m_{A \cdot (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)}(a), \psi \rangle_\Omega| & \leq Ch^{2m-1}\|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|a\psi\|_{W^{\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{2m-1})\|\psi\|_{H^{\frac{m-2}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3m}{2}})\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3m}{2}})\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $m = 2$, we use Hölder's inequality, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h^3m_{A \cdot (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)}(a), \psi \rangle_\Omega| & \leq Ch^3\|A\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|a\psi\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{2n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \mathcal{O}(h^3)\|a\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\psi\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \mathcal{O}(h^3)\|\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^3)\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $m \geq 2$, we get

$$|\langle h^{2m-1}m_{A \cdot (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)}(a), \psi \rangle_\Omega| \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3m}{2}})\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Similarly, in the case $m \geq 3$, we use Proposition 2.2 with $p = q = 2$, $s_1 = \frac{m-2}{2}$ and $s_2 = \frac{m}{2}$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h^{2m}D_A(a), \psi \rangle_\Omega| & \leq Ch^{2m}\|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\psi Da\|_{W^{\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{2m})\|\psi\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3m}{2}})\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3m}{2}})\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

When $m = 2$, we again use Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embeddings $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [28, Chapter 13, Proposition 6.4]), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h^4D_A(a), \psi \rangle_\Omega| & \leq h^4\|A\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\psi Da\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{2n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \mathcal{O}(h^4)\|Da\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\psi\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \mathcal{O}(h^4)\|\psi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^3)\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $m \geq 2$, we get

$$|\langle h^{2m-1}D_A(a), \psi \rangle_\Omega| \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3m}{2}})\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Finally, using Proposition 2.2, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h^{2m}m_q(a), \psi \rangle_\Omega| & \leq h^{2m}\|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|a\psi\|_{W^{\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{2m})\|\psi\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3m}{2}})\|\psi\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining this together with the estimates (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) in (2.9), and using (2.3) and $m \geq 2$, we can conclude that

$$\|g\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\Omega)} \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3m}{2}}) \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{m+m/2}).$$

Thanks to this and Proposition 2.4, for $h > 0$ small enough, there exists a solution $r \in H^m(\Omega)$ of (2.9) such that

$$\|h^{m/2}r\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)} \lesssim \frac{1}{h^m} \|e^{\frac{-ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} h^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{A,q}(e^{\frac{ix \cdot \zeta}{h}} a)\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\Omega)} = \frac{1}{h^m} \|g\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{-m}(\Omega)} \lesssim h^{m/2}.$$

Therefore, $\|r\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(1)$. The discussion of this section can be summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded open set with C^∞ boundary, and let $m \geq 2$ be an integer such that $2n > m$. Suppose that $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega})$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega})$, and let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $\zeta \cdot \zeta = 0$, $\zeta = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1$ with ζ_0 being independent of $h > 0$, $|\text{Re } \zeta_0| = |\text{Im } \zeta_0| = 1$, and $\zeta_1 = \mathcal{O}(h)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Then for all $h > 0$ small enough, there exists a solution $u(x, \zeta; h) \in H^m(\Omega)$ to the equation $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}u = 0$ in Ω , of the form*

$$u(x, \zeta; h) = e^{ix \cdot \zeta/h} (a(x, \zeta_0) + h^{m/2} r(x, \zeta; h)),$$

where the function $a(\cdot, \zeta_0) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies (2.8) and the remainder term r is such that $\|r\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The first ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is a standard reduction to a larger domain; see [27]. For the proof we follow [17, Proposition 3.2] and [24, Lemma 4.2].

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Omega, \Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be two bounded opens sets such that $\Omega \subset \subset \Omega'$. Let $A_1, A_2 \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega})$ and $q_1, q_2 \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega})$. If $\mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1} = \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2}$, then $\mathcal{N}'_{A_1, q_1} = \mathcal{N}'_{A_2, q_2}$, where $\mathcal{N}'_{A_j, q_j}$ denotes the set of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for $\mathcal{L}_{A_j, q_j}$ in Ω' , $j = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Let $f' \in \prod_{j=0}^m H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega')$ and let $u'_1 \in H^m(\Omega')$ be a unique solution to $\mathcal{L}_{A_1, q_1} u'_1 = 0$ in Ω' with $\gamma u'_1 = f'$ on $\partial\Omega'$, where γ' denotes the Dirichlet trace on $\partial\Omega'$. Let $u_1 = u'_1|_\Omega \in H^m(\Omega)$ and $f = \gamma u_1$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1} = \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2}$, we can guarantee the existence of $u_2 \in H^m(\Omega)$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2} u_2 = 0$ and $\gamma u_2 = f$. In particular $\varphi := u_2 - u_1 \in H_0^m(\Omega) \subset H_0^m(\Omega')$. We define

$$u'_2 = u'_1 + \varphi \in H^m(\Omega'), \quad (3.1)$$

so that we have $u'_2 = u_2$ in Ω . It follows that $\gamma' u'_2 = \gamma' u'_1 = f'$ on $\partial\Omega'$.

Next, we show that u'_2 satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2} u'_2 = 0$ in Ω' . For this, let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega')$. Then we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2} u'_2, \psi \rangle_{\Omega'} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u'_2, \overline{D^\alpha \psi})_{L^2(\Omega')} + \langle D_{A_2}(u'_2), \psi \rangle_{\Omega'} + \langle m_{q_2}(u'_2), \psi \rangle_{\Omega'}.$$

Since $A_2 = 0$ and $q_2 = 0$ outside of $\overline{\Omega}$, by (3.1), with $\varphi \in H_0^m(\Omega)$, we can rewrite the above equality as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2} u'_2, \psi \rangle_{\Omega'} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u'_1, \overline{D^\alpha \psi})_{L^2(\Omega')} + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha \varphi, \overline{D^\alpha (\psi|_\Omega)})_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + B_{A_2}(u'_2, \psi|_\Omega) + b_{q_2}(u'_2, \psi|_\Omega) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u'_1, \overline{D^\alpha \psi})_{L^2(\Omega')} - \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u_1, \overline{D^\alpha (\psi|_\Omega)})_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u_2, \overline{D^\alpha (\psi|_\Omega)})_{L^2(\Omega)} + B_{A_2}(u_2, \psi|_\Omega) \\ &\quad + b_{q_2}(u_2, \psi|_\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2} f, \gamma(\psi|_\Omega) \rangle_{\partial\Omega} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u_2, \overline{D^\alpha (\psi|_\Omega)})_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + B_{A_2}(u_2, \psi|_\Omega) + b_{q_2}(u_2, \psi|_\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2} u'_2, \psi \rangle_{\Omega'} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u'_1, \overline{D^\alpha \psi})_{L^2(\Omega')} - \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u_1, \overline{D^\alpha (\psi|_\Omega)})_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \langle \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2} f, \psi|_{\partial\Omega} \rangle_{\partial\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1} = \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2}$ and since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1} f, \gamma(\psi|_\Omega) \rangle_{\partial\Omega} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u_1, \overline{D^\alpha (\psi|_\Omega)})_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + B_{A_1}(u_1, \psi|_\Omega) + b_{q_1}(u_1, \psi|_\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

we come to

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2} u'_2, \psi \rangle_{\Omega'} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u'_1, \overline{D^\alpha \psi})_{L^2(\Omega')} + B_{A_1}(u_1, \psi|_\Omega) + b_{q_1}(u_1, \psi|_\Omega).$$

Using that $A_1 = 0$ and $q_1 = 0$ outside $\overline{\Omega}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2} u'_2, \psi \rangle_{\Omega'} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u'_1, \overline{D^\alpha \psi})_{L^2(\Omega')} + \langle D_{A_1}(u'_1), \psi \rangle_{\Omega'} + \langle m_{q_1}(u'_1), \psi \rangle_{\Omega'} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{L}_{A_1, q_1} u'_1, \psi \rangle_{\Omega'} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2} u'_2 = 0$ in Ω' .

Using the analogous arguments one can show that $\mathcal{N}'_{A_2, q_2} f' = \mathcal{N}'_{A_1, q_1} f'$ on $\partial\Omega'$, which finishes the proof. $\square$

The second ingredient is the derivation of the following integral identity based on the assumption that $\mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1} = \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded open set. Assume that $A_1, A_2 \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega})$ and $q_1, q_2 \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega})$. If $\mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1} = \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2}$, then the following integral identity holds*

$$B_{A_1 - A_2}(u_1, \overline{u}_2) + b_{q_1 - q_2}(u_1, \overline{u}_2) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for any $u_1, u_2 \in H^m(\Omega)$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_{A_1, q_1} u_1 = 0$ in Ω and $\mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2}^* u_2 = 0$ in Ω , respectively.

Recall that $\mathcal{L}_{A, q}^* = \mathcal{L}_{\overline{A}, \overline{q} + D \cdot \overline{A}}$ is the formal adjoint of $\mathcal{L}_{A, q}$.

Proof. Since $u_2 \in H^m(\Omega)$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{-A_2, q_2 - D \cdot A_2} \overline{u}_2 = 0$, the following

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \langle \mathcal{L}_{-A_2, q_2 - D \cdot A_2} \overline{u}_2, \psi \rangle_\Omega &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha \overline{u}_2, D^\alpha \psi)_{L^2(\Omega)} - \langle D_{A_2}(\overline{u}_2), \psi \rangle_\Omega \\ &\quad + \langle m_{q_2 - D \cdot A_2}(\overline{u}_2), \psi \rangle_\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

holds for every $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Density and continuity imply that (3.3) holds also for all $\psi \in H_0^m(\Omega)$.

The hypothesis that $\mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1} = \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2}$ implies the existence of $v_2 \in H^m(\Omega)$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2} v_2 = 0$ in Ω such

$$\gamma u_1 = \gamma v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1} \gamma u_1 = \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2} \gamma v_2.$$

Then $\psi = u_1 - v_2 \in H_0^m(\Omega)$. Hence, applying (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha \overline{u}_2, D^\alpha (u_1 - v_2))_{L^2(\Omega)} - \langle D_{A_2}(\overline{u}_2), (u_1 - v_2) \rangle_\Omega \\ &\quad + \langle m_{q_2 - D \cdot A_2}(\overline{u}_2), (u_1 - v_2) \rangle_\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The equality $\langle \mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1} \gamma u_1, \gamma \overline{u}_2 \rangle_{\partial\Omega} = \langle \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2} \gamma v_2, \gamma \overline{u}_2 \rangle_{\partial\Omega}$ together with the definition (1.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha (u_1 - v_2), D^\alpha \overline{u}_2)_{L^2(\Omega)} - (B_{A_1}(u_1, \overline{u}_2) - B_{A_2}(v_2, \overline{u}_2)) \\ &\quad + b_{q_1}(u_1, \overline{u}_2) - b_{q_2}(v_2, \overline{u}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.4) and using Proposition A.4, we derive the integral identity (3.2) as desired. $\square$

Let $A_1, A_2 \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega})$ and $q_1, q_2 \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega})$ as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. Let B be an open ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Omega \subset\subset B$. According to Proposition 3.1, we know that $\mathcal{N}_{A_1, q_1}^B = \mathcal{N}_{A_2, q_2}^B$, where $\mathcal{N}_{A_j, q_j}^B$ denotes the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for $\mathcal{L}_{A_j, q_j}$ in B , $j = 1, 2$. Now, by Proposition 3.2, the following integral identity holds

$$B_{A_1 - A_2}^B(u_1, \overline{u}_2) + b_{q_1 - q_2}^B(u_1, \overline{u}_2) = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for any $u_1, u_2 \in H^m(B)$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_{A_1, q_1} u_1 = 0$ in B and $\mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2}^* u_2 = 0$ in B , respectively. Here and in what follows, by $B_{A_1 - A_2}^B$ and $b_{q_1 - q_2}^B$ we denote the bilinear

forms corresponding to $A_1 - A_2$ and $q_1 - q_2$, respectively, defined (by means of (A.1)) in the ball B .

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to use the integral identity (3.5) with $u_1, u_2 \in H^m(B)$ being complex geometric optics solutions for the equations $\mathcal{L}_{A_1, q_1} u_1 = 0$ in B and $\mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2}^* u_2 = 0$ in B , respectively. In order to construct these solutions, consider $\xi, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\mu_1| = |\mu_2| = 1$ and $\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2 = \xi \cdot \mu_1 = \xi \cdot \mu_2 = 0$. For $h > 0$, we set

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{h\xi}{2} + \sqrt{1 - h^2 \frac{|\xi|^2}{4}} \mu_1 + i\mu_2, \quad \zeta_2 = -\frac{h\xi}{2} + \sqrt{1 - h^2 \frac{|\xi|^2}{4}} \mu_1 - i\mu_2.$$

So we have $\zeta_j \cdot \zeta_j = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, and $\zeta_1 - \bar{\zeta}_2 = h\xi$.

By Proposition 2.5, for all $h > 0$ small enough, there are solutions $u_1(\cdot, \zeta_1; h)$ and $u_2(\cdot, \zeta_2; h)$ in $H^m(B)$ to the equations

$$\mathcal{L}_{A_1, q_1} u_1 = 0 \text{ in } B \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{A_2, q_2}^* u_2 = 0 \text{ in } B,$$

respectively, of the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x, \zeta_1; h) &= e^{ix \cdot \zeta_1/h} (a_1(x, \mu_1 + i\mu_2) + h^{m/2} r_1(x, \zeta_1; h)) \\ u_2(x, \zeta_2; h) &= e^{ix \cdot \zeta_2/h} (a_2(x, \mu_1 - i\mu_2) + h^{m/2} r_2(x, \zeta_2; h)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where the amplitudes $a_1(x, \mu_1 + i\mu_2), a_2(x, \mu_1 - i\mu_2) \in C^\infty(\bar{B})$ satisfy the transport equations

$$((\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \cdot \nabla)^2 a_1(x, \mu_1 + i\mu_2) = 0, \quad \text{in } B, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$((\mu_1 - i\mu_2) \cdot \nabla)^2 a_2(x, \mu_1 - i\mu_2) = 0, \quad \text{in } B, \quad (3.8)$$

and the remainder terms $r_1(\cdot, \zeta_1; h)$ and $r_2(\cdot, \zeta_2; h)$ satisfy

$$\|r_j\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^m(B)} = \mathcal{O}(1), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.9)$$

We substitute u_1 and u_2 given by (3.6) into (3.2), and get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{h} b_{\zeta_1 \cdot (A_1 - A_2)}^B \left(a_1 + h^{m/2} r_1, e^{ix \cdot \xi} (\bar{a}_2 + h^{m/2} \bar{r}_2) \right) \\ &\quad + B_{A_1 - A_2}^B \left(a_1 + h^{m/2} r_1, e^{ix \cdot \xi} (\bar{a}_2 + h^{m/2} \bar{r}_2) \right) \\ &\quad + b_{q_1 - q_2}^B \left(a_1 + h^{m/2} r_1, e^{ix \cdot \xi} (\bar{a}_2 + h^{m/2} \bar{r}_2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Multiplying this by h and letting $h \rightarrow +0$, we obtain that

$$b_{(\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \cdot (A_1 - A_2)}^B (a_1, e^{ix \cdot \xi} \bar{a}_2) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Here we have used (3.9), Proposition A.2 and the fact that $a_1, a_2 \in C^\infty(\bar{B})$ to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &|B_{A_1 - A_2}^B (a_1 + h^{m/2} r_1, e^{ix \cdot \xi} (\bar{a}_2 + h^{m/2} \bar{r}_2))| \\ &\leq C \|A_1 - A_2\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2m}{m}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \|a_1 + h^{m/2} r_1\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)} \|\bar{a}_2 + h^{m/2} \bar{r}_2\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)} \\ &\leq C (\|a_1\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)} + \|r_1\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)}) (\|\bar{a}_2\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)} + \|\bar{r}_2\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)}) \leq \mathcal{O}(1). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |b_{q_1-q_2}^B(a_1 + hr_1, e^{ix \cdot \xi}(\bar{a}_2 + h\bar{r}_2))| \\
 & \leq C\|q_1 - q_2\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|a_1 + h^{m/2}r_1\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)}\|\bar{a}_2 + h^{m/2}\bar{r}_2\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)} \\
 & \leq C(\|a_1\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)} + h^{m/2}\|r_1\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)})(\|\bar{a}_2\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)} + h^{m/2}\|\bar{r}_2\|_{H_{\text{scl}}^{\frac{m}{2}}(B)}) \\
 & \leq \mathcal{O}(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ in (3.11), we obtain

$$\langle (\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \cdot (A_1 - A_2), e^{ix \cdot \xi} \rangle_B = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

This implies that

$$(\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \cdot (\hat{A}_1(\xi) - \hat{A}_2(\xi)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \mu, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mu \cdot \xi = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\hat{A}_j$ stands for the Fourier transform of A_j , $j = 1, 2$. It follows from (3.13) that

$$\partial_{x_j}(A_{1,k} - A_{2,k}) - \partial_{x_k}(A_{1,j} - A_{2,j}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n, \quad (3.14)$$

in the sense of distributions. Indeed, for each $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ and for $j \neq k$, $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, consider the vector $\mu = \mu(\xi, j, k)$ such that $\mu_j = -\xi_k$, $\mu_k = \xi_j$ and all other components are equal to zero. Therefore, μ satisfy $\mu \cdot \xi = 0$. Hence, using (3.13) we obtain

$$\xi_j \cdot (\hat{A}_{1,k}(\xi) - \hat{A}_{2,k}(\xi)) - \xi_k \cdot (\hat{A}_{1,j}(\xi) - \hat{A}_{2,j}(\xi)) = 0,$$

which proves (3.14) in the sense of distributions.

Our goal is to show that $A_1 = A_2$. Considering $A_1 - A_2$ as a 1-current and using the Poincaré lemma for currents, we conclude that there is $\psi \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $d\psi = A_1 - A_2 \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(B, \mathbb{C}^n)$; see [22]. Note that ψ is a constant, say $c \in \mathbb{C}$, outside $\bar{B}$ since $A_1 - A_2 = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{B}$ (and also near ∂B). Considering $\psi - c$ instead of ψ , we may and shall assume that $\psi \in \mathcal{E}'(\bar{B}, \mathbb{C})$.

To show that $A_1 = A_2$, consider (3.11) with $a_2(\cdot, \mu_1 - i\mu_2) = 1$ and $a_1(\cdot, \mu_1 + i\mu_2)$ satisfying

$$((\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \cdot \nabla)a_1(x, \mu_1 + i\mu_2) = 1 \quad \text{in } B. \quad (3.15)$$

The latter choice is possible thanks to (3.7), (3.8) and the assumption that $m \geq 2$. The equation (3.15) is just an inhomogeneous $\bar{\partial}$ -equation and one can solve it by setting

$$a_1(x, \mu_1 + i\mu_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\chi(x - y_1\mu_1 - y_2\mu_2)}{y_1 + iy_2} dy_1 dy_2,$$

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that $\chi \equiv 1$ near $\bar{B}$; see [24, Lemma 4.6].

From (3.11), we have

$$b_{(\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \cdot \nabla \psi}^B(a_1, e^{ix \cdot \xi}) = 0.$$

Using the fact that $\mu_1 \cdot \xi = \mu_2 \cdot \xi = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -b_{(\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \cdot \nabla \psi}^B(a_1, e^{ix \cdot \xi}) = -\langle (\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \cdot \nabla \psi, e^{ix \cdot \xi} a_1 \rangle_B \\ &= \langle \psi, e^{ix \cdot \xi} (\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \cdot \nabla a_1 \rangle_B = \langle \psi, e^{ix \cdot \xi} \rangle_B. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $\hat{\psi} = 0$, and hence we have $\psi = 0$ in B , which completes the proof of $A_1 = A_2$.

To show that $q_1 = q_2$, we substitute $A_1 = A_2$ and $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ into the identity (3.10) and obtain

$$b_{q_1 - q_2}^B \left(1 + h^{m/2} r_1, (1 + h^{m/2} \bar{r}_2) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \right) = 0.$$

Letting $h \rightarrow 0^+$, we get $\hat{q}_1(\xi) - \hat{q}_2(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This implies that $q_1 = q_2$ in B completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

APPENDIX A. MAPPING PROPERTIES OF D_A AND m_q

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded open set with C^∞ boundary, and $m \geq 2$ be an integer such that $2n > m$. Let $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$, where $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the standard L^p -based Sobolev space on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$. The reader is referred to [30] for properties of these spaces.

We start with considering the bilinear forms $B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ on $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which are defined by

$$B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, v) = \langle A, vDu \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, v) = \langle q, uv \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad u, v \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The following result shows that the forms $B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ are bounded on $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The proof is based on a property on multiplication of functions in Sobolev spaces given in Proposition 2.2.

Proposition A.1. *The bilinear forms $B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ on $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are bounded and satisfy*

$$|b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, v)| \leq C \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$|B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, v)| \leq C \|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $u, v \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. First, we give the proof for the form $b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Using the duality between $W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and using Proposition 2.2, we conclude that for all $u, v \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\begin{aligned} |b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, v)| &= |\langle q, uv \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| \leq \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|uv\|_{W^{\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we give the proof for the form $B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}$. In the case $m \geq 3$, using the duality between $W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and using Proposition 2.2 with $p = q = 2$, $s_1 = \frac{m-2}{2}$ and $s_2 = \frac{m}{2}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, v)| &= |\langle A, vDu \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| \leq \|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|vDu\|_{W^{\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{2n-m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|Du\|_{H^{\frac{m-2}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $m = 2$, we use Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [28, Chapter 13, Proposition 6.4]), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, v)| &= |\langle A, vDu \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}| \leq \|A\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|vDu\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{2n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|A\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|Du\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|A\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|Du\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|A\|_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, v)| \leq C \|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $u, v \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $\square$

The bilinear forms B_A and b_q on $H^m(\Omega)$, which were defined in the introduction, can be rewritten as

$$B_A(u, v) = B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}), \quad b_q(u, v) = b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}), \quad u, v \in H^m(\Omega), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are extensions of u and v , respectively. First, we show that these definitions are well-defined, i.e. independent of the choice of extensions $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$. Indeed, let $u_1, u_2 \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $u_1 = u_2 = u$ in Ω , and let $v_1, v_2 \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $v_1 = v_2 = v$ in Ω . Then we need to show that

$$B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u_1 - u_2, v_1 - v_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u_1 - u_2, v_1 - v_2) = 0. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Since A and q are supported in $\overline{\Omega}$, for any $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\phi = \psi = 0$ in Ω , we have

$$B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(\phi, \psi) = \langle A, \psi D\phi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}(\phi, \psi) = \langle q, \psi\phi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ are continuous bilinear forms (by Proposition A.1), we get (A.2).

The next result shows that the bilinear forms B_A and b_q are bounded on $H^m(\Omega)$. This is a consequence of Proposition A.1.

Proposition A.2. *The bilinear forms B_A and b_q on $H^m(\Omega)$ are bounded and satisfy*

$$|b_q(u, v)| \leq C \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)}$$

and

$$|B_A(u, v)| \leq C \|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)}$$

for all $u, v \in H^m(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $u, v \in H^m(\Omega)$ and let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be a bounded open neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$. Then there is a bounded linear map $E : H^m(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^m(\tilde{\Omega})$ such that $E|_{\Omega} = \text{Id}$; see [6, Theorem 6.44]. Then according to estimates proven in Proposition A.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |b_q(u, v)| &= |b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}(E(u), E(v))| \\ &\leq C \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, 2n}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|E(u)\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|E(v)\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, 2n}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |B_A(u, v)| &= |B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(E(u), E(v))| \\ &\leq C \|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|E(u)\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|E(v)\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq C \|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

These estimates finish the proof. $\square$

Now, for $u \in H^m(\Omega)$, we define $D_A(u)$ and $m_q(u)$, for any $v \in H_0^m(\Omega)$ by

$$\langle D_A(u), v \rangle_{\Omega} = B_A(u, v) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle m_q(u), v \rangle_{\Omega} = b_q(u, v),$$

The following result, which is an immediate corollary of Proposition A.2, implies that D_A , m_q are bounded operators from $H^m(\Omega)$ to $H^{-m}(\Omega)$. The norm on $H^{-m}(\Omega)$ is the usual dual norm given by

$$\|v\|_{H^{-m}(\Omega)} = \sup_{0 \neq \phi \in H_0^m(\Omega)} \frac{|\langle v, \phi \rangle_{\Omega}|}{\|\phi\|_{H^m(\Omega)}}.$$

Corollary A.3. *The operators B_A and b_q are bounded from $H^m(\Omega)$ to $H^{-m}(\Omega)$ and satisfy*

$$\|m_q(u)\|_{H^{-m}(\Omega)} \leq C \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^m(\Omega)}$$

and

$$\|D_A(u)\|_{H^{-m}(\Omega)} \leq C \|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^m(\Omega)}$$

for all $u \in H^m(\Omega)$.

Finally, we record and give the proof of the following useful identities.

Proposition A.4. *For any $u, v \in H^m(\Omega)$, the forms B_A and b_q satisfy the following identities*

$$B_A(u, v) = -B_A(v, u) - b_{D \cdot A}(u, v) \quad \text{and} \quad b_q(u, v) = b_q(v, u).$$

Proof. According to the definitions (A.1) and density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it is sufficient to prove for the case $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This follows by straightforward computations

$$b_q^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, v) = \langle q, uv \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \langle m_q(v), u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$

and using product rule

$$\begin{aligned} B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, v) &= \langle A, vDu \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} = -\langle A, uDv \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \langle A, D(uv) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= -B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(v, u) - \langle D \cdot A, uv \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= -B_A^{\mathbb{R}^n}(v, u) - b_{D \cdot A}(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is thus finished. $\square$

APPENDIX B. WELL-POSEDNESS AND DIRICHLET-TO-NEUMANN MAP

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded open set with C^∞ boundary, and let $A \in W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C})$, where $n > m$. For $f = (f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) \in \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{A,q}u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma u &= f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Here, by γ we denote the Dirichlet trace operator, given by

$$\gamma : H^m(\Omega) \rightarrow \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega), \quad \gamma u = (u|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega}, \dots, \partial_\nu^{m-1} u|_{\partial\Omega}),$$

which is bounded and surjective, see [9, Theorem 9.5, page 226].

First aim of this appendix is to use the standard variational arguments to show the well-posedness of the problem (B.1). First, consider the following inhomogeneous problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{A,q}u &= F \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

with $u \in H^{-m}(\Omega)$.

To define a sesquilinear form a , associated to the problem (B.2), for $u, v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we integrate by parts and get

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{A,q}u, \bar{v} \rangle_\Omega = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u, \overline{D^\alpha v})_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle D_A(u), \bar{v} \rangle_\Omega + \langle m_q(u), \bar{v} \rangle_\Omega := a(u, v).$$

Therefore, a is defined on $H^m(\Omega)$ by

$$a(u, v) := \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u, \overline{D^\alpha v})_{L^2(\Omega)} + B_A(u, \bar{v}) + b_q(u, \bar{v}), \quad u, v \in H^m(\Omega).$$

Note that this is not a unique way to define a sesquilinear form associated to the problem (B.2).

Now, we show that the sesquilinear form a can be extended to a bounded form on $H_0^m(\Omega)$. Using duality and Proposition A.1, for $u, v \in H_0^m(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a(u, v)| &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|D^\alpha v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \left(\|A\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|q\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{H^m(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^m(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Thus, the sesquilinear form a is a bounded form on $H_0^m(\Omega)$.

Applying Poincaré's inequality, we have

$$\|u\|_{H^m(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad u \in H_0^m(\Omega). \tag{B.4}$$

Write $q = q^\sharp + (q - q^\sharp)$ with $q^\sharp \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ and $\|q - q^\sharp\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ small enough, and write $A = A^\sharp + (A - A^\sharp)$ with $A^\sharp \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\|A - A^\sharp\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ small enough. Using (B.4) and Proposition A.2, for $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} a(u, u) &\geq \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - |B_A(u, u)| - |b_q(u, u)| \\ &\geq C \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - |B_{A^\sharp}(u, u)| - |b_{q^\sharp}(u, u)| \\ &\quad - |B_{A-A^\sharp}(u, u)| - |b_{q-q^\sharp}(u, u)| \\ &\geq C \|u\|_{H^m(\Omega)}^2 - \|A^\sharp\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - \|q^\sharp\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - C' \|A - A^\sharp\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)}^2 - C' \|q - q^\sharp\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\geq C \|u\|_{H^m(\Omega)}^2 - \|A^\sharp\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|A^\sharp\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - \|q^\sharp\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - C' \|A - A^\sharp\|_{W^{-\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - C' \|q - q^\sharp\|_{W^{-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{2n}{m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{m}{2}}(\Omega)}^2, \quad C, C' > 0, \quad u \in H_0^m(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we get

$$\operatorname{Re} a(u, u) \geq C \|u\|_{H^m(\Omega)}^2 - C_0 \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad C, C_0 > 0, \quad u \in H_0^m(\Omega).$$

Therefore, the form a is coercive on $H_0^m(\Omega)$. As the inclusion map $H_0^m(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact, the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,q} = (-\Delta)^m + D_A + m_q : H_0^m(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-m}(\Omega) = (H_0^m(\Omega))',$$

is Fredholm operator with zero index; see [19, Theorem 2.34].

Positivity of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q} + C_0 : H_0^m(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-m}(\Omega)$ and an application of the Lax-Milgram lemma implies that $\mathcal{L}_{A,q} + C_0$ has a bounded inverse. By compact Sobolev embedding $H_0^m(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^{-m}(\Omega)$ and the Fredholm theorem, the equation

(B.2) has a unique solution $u \in H_0^m(\Omega)$ for any $F \in H^{-m}(\Omega)$ if one is outside a countable set of eigenvalues.

Now, consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{A,q}u &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \gamma u &= f & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

with $f = (f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) \in \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. We assume that 0 is not in the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{A,q} : H_0^m(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-m}(\Omega)$. By [9, Theorem 9.5, page 226], there is $w \in H^m(\Omega)$ such that $\gamma w = f$. According to Corollary A.3, we have $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}w \in H^{-m}(\Omega)$. Therefore, $u = v + w$, with $v \in H_0^m(\Omega)$ being the unique solution of the equation $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}v = -\mathcal{L}_{A,q}w \in H^{-m}(\Omega)$, is the unique solution of the Dirichlet problem (B.5).

Under the assumption that 0 is not in the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}$ is defined as follows: let $f, h \in \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. Then we set

$$\langle \mathcal{N}_{A,q}f, \bar{h} \rangle_{\partial\Omega} := \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u, \overline{D^\alpha v_h})_{L^2(\Omega)} + B_A(u, \bar{v}_h) + b_q(u, \bar{v}_h), \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where u is the unique solution of the Dirichlet problem (B.5) and $v_h \in H^m(\Omega)$ is an extension of h , that is $\gamma v_h = h$. In this appendix we show that $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}$ is a well-defined (i.e. independent of the choice of v_h) bounded operator

$$\mathcal{N}_{A,q} : \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow \left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \right)' = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{-m+j+1/2}(\partial\Omega).$$

Let us first show that the definition (B.6) of $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}f$ is independent of the choice of an extension v_h of h . For this, let $v_{h,1}, v_{h,2} \in H^m(\Omega)$ be such that $\gamma v_{h,1} = \gamma v_{h,2} = h$. Note that $v_{h,1} - v_{h,2} \in H_0^m(\Omega)$. Then we have to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u, \overline{D^\alpha (v_{h,1} - v_{h,2})})_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ + \langle D_A(u), \overline{(v_{h,1} - v_{h,2})} \rangle_\Omega + \langle m_q(u), \overline{(v_{h,1} - v_{h,2})} \rangle_\Omega = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

For any $w \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and for $u \in H^m(\Omega)$ solution of the Dirichlet problem (B.5), we have

$$0 = \langle \mathcal{L}_{A,q}u, \bar{w} \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} (D^\alpha u, \overline{D^\alpha w})_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle D_A(u), \bar{w} \rangle_\Omega + \langle m_q(u), \bar{w} \rangle_\Omega.$$

Density of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $H_0^m(\Omega)$ and continuity of the form on $H_0^m(\Omega)$ give (B.7).

Now we show that $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}f$ is a well-defined element of $\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{-m+j+1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. From (B.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathcal{N}_{A,q}u, \bar{h} \rangle_{\partial\Omega}| &\leq C \|u\|_{H^m(\Omega)} \|v_h\|_{H^m(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|\gamma u\|_{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \|h\|_{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|h\|_{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} = (\|h_0\|_{H^{m-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \cdots + \|h_{m-1}\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}^2)^{1/2}$$

is the product norm on the space $\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. Here we have used the fact that the extension operator $\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \ni h \mapsto v_h \in H^m(\Omega)$ is bounded, again see [9, Theorem 9.5, page 226]. Hence, we have that $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}f$ belongs to $(\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega))' = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{-m+j+1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. The proof given above also shows that

$$\mathcal{N}_{A,q} : \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow \left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{m-j-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \right)' = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} H^{-m+j+1/2}(\partial\Omega)$$

is bounded.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks his advisor Professor Gunther Uhlmann for all his encouragement and support. Many thanks to Professor Katya Krupchyk whose unvaluable comments substantially improved the main result of the paper. Finally, the author gratefully acknowledges Professor Winfried Sickel for sharing his copy of the monograph [23]. The work of the author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Brown, *Global uniqueness in the impedance-imaging problem for less regular conductivities*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **27** (1996), no. 4, 1049–1056.
- [2] R. M. Brown, R. H. Torres, *Uniqueness in the Inverse Conductivity Problem for Conductivities with 3/2 Derivatives in L^p , $p > 2n$* , Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications **9** (2003), no. 6, 563–574.
- [3] A. Calderon, *On an inverse boundary value problem*, Comput. Appl. Math. **25** (2006), 133–138.
- [4] P. Caro, K. Rogers, *Global uniqueness for the Calderón problem with Lipschitz conductivities*, arXiv:1411.8001.
- [5] S. Chanillo, *A problem in electrical prospection and an n -dimensional Borg–Levinson theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), no. 3, 761–767.
- [6] G. Folland, “Real analysis, Modern Techniques and Their Applications”, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [7] F. Gazzola, H.-C. Grunau, G. Sweers, *Polyharmonic boundary value problems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [8] A. Greenleaf, M. Lassas, G. Uhlmann, *The Calderón problem for conormal potentials. I. Global uniqueness and reconstruction*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **56** (2003), no. 3, 328–352.
- [9] G. Grubb, *Distributions and operators*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 252. Springer, New York, 2009.

- [10] B. Haberman, *Uniqueness in Calderón's problem for conductivities with unbounded gradient*, preprint, arXiv:1410.2201.
- [11] B. Haberman, D. Tataru, *Uniqueness in Calderón's problem with Lipschitz conductivities*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 3, 497–516.
- [12] M. Ikehata, *A special Greens function for the biharmonic operator and its application to an inverse boundary value problem*, Comput. Math. Appl. **22** (1991), no. 4–5, 53–66.
- [13] V. Isakov, *Completeness of products of solutions and some inverse problems for PDE*, J. Differential Equations **92** (1991), no. 2, 305–316.
- [14] C. Kenig, J. Sjöstrand, G. Uhlmann, *The Calderón problem with partial data*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 2, 567–591.
- [15] K. Krupchyk, M. Lassas, G. Uhlmann, *Inverse Boundary value Problems for the Perturbed Polyharmonic Operator*, Transactions AMS, **366** (2014), 95–112.
- [16] K. Krupchyk, G. Uhlmann, *Inverse boundary problems for polyharmonic operators with unbounded potentials*, preprint, arXiv:1308.3782.
- [17] K. Krupchyk, G. Uhlmann, *Uniqueness in an inverse boundary problem for a magnetic Schrödinger operator with a bounded magnetic potential*, Comm. Math. Phys., **327** (2014), 993–1009.
- [18] R. Lavine, A. Nachman, unpublished, announced in A. I. Nachman, *Inverse scattering at fixed energy*, Mathematical physics, X (Leipzig, 1991), 434–441, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [19] W. McLean, *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [20] G. Nakamura, Z. Sun, G. Uhlmann, *Global identifiability for an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), no. 3, 377–388.
- [21] L. Päivärinta, A. Panchenko, G. Uhlmann, *Complex geometrical optics solutions for Lipschitz conductivities*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **19** (2003), no. 1, 57–72.
- [22] G. de Rham, *Differentiable manifolds. Forms, currents, harmonic forms*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **266**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [23] T. Runst, W. Sickel, *Sobolev spaces of fractional order, Nemytskij operators, and nonlinear partial differential operators*, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1996.
- [24] M. Salo, *Inverse problems for nonsmooth first order perturbations of the Laplacian*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss. **139** (2004).
- [25] M. Salo, L. Tzou, *Carleman estimates and inverse problems for Dirac operators*, Math. Ann. **344** (2009), no. 1, 161–184.
- [26] Z. Sun, *An inverse boundary value problem for Schrödinger operators with vector potentials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **338** (1993), no. 2, 953–969.
- [27] J. Sylvester, G. Uhlmann, *A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **125** (1987), no. 1, 153–169.
- [28] M. E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations III. Nonlinear Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 117. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [29] C. Tolmasky, *Exponentially growing solutions for nonsmooth first-order perturbations of the Laplacian*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **29** (1998), no. 1, 116–133.
- [30] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.